Exhibit J

Rachelle Obakozuwa March 21, 2023

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                        WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                          SAN ANTONIO DIVISION
 3
     LA UNION DEL PUEBLO
                                   S
     ENTERO, et al.,
 4
           Plaintiffs,
                                   S
 5
                                   S
                                      Case No. 5:21-cv-844-XR
                                   S
     v.
 6
                                   S
     GREGORY W. ABBOTT, et
                                   S
 7
                                   S
     al.,
           Defendants,
                                   S
                                   S
 8
                                   S
 9
                                   S
     OCA-GREATER HOUSTON, et
                                   S
     al.,
                                   S
10
                                   S
           Plaintiffs,
11
                                   S
                                      Case No. 1:21-cv-780-XR
                                   8
     v.
                                   S
12
     JANE NELSON, et. al.,
                                   S
13
           Defendants,
                                   S
                                   S
                                   S
14
15
     HOUSTON JUSTICE, et
                                   S
     al.,
                                   S
16
           Plaintiffs,
                                   S
                                   8
                                   S
17
     v.
                                      Case No. 5:21-cv-848-XR
                                   S
     GREGORY WAYNE ABBOTT,
18
                                   S
     et al.,
                                   S
19
                                   S
           Defendants,
20
                                   S
                                   S
21
     LULAC Texas, et al.,
                                   S
           Plaintiffs,
                                   S
22
                                   S
                                   S
                                      Case No. 1:21-cv-0786-XR
     v.
23
                                   S
     JANE NELSON, et al.,
                                   S
24
           Defendants,
                                   S
                                   8
25
```



```
1
     MI FAMILIA VOTA, et
                                S
     al.,
          Plaintiffs,
                                S
 2
                                S
                                S
                                    Case No. 5:21-cv-0920-XR
 3
     v.
                                S
 4
     GREG ABBOTT, et al.,
                                S
          Defendants.
                                8
 5
 6
 7
 8
                ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
 9
                         RACHELLE OBAKOZUWA
10
                           MARCH 21, 2023
11
12
13
14
          ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF RACHELLE
15
16
     OBAKOZUWA, produced as a witness at the instance of the
17
     Defendants and duly sworn, was taken in the above styled
18
     and numbered cause on Tuesday, March 21, 2023, from
19
     3:51 p.m. to 6:44 p.m., before DONNA QUALLS, Notary
20
     Public in and for the State of Texas, reported by
21
     computerized stenotype machine, at the offices of Harris
```

MAGNA &

LEGAL SERVICES

County Attorney's Office, 1019 Congress Street, 15th

Floor, Houston, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure, and any provisions stated on the record

22

23

24

25

or attached hereto.

1 So why do you think the rejection rate was 2. higher in November 2022 than in the past elections shown here? 3 MS. HUNKER: Objection; form. 4 SB1 has brought challenges to mail voting that 5 were not part of any of the other elections --6 elections. 7 8 MS. PAIKOWSKY: I think I am ready to pass the witness. 9 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. HOLMES: 12 Good afternoon. My name is Jennifer Holmes, Q. 13 and I represent the -- and now I have a microphone. Good afternoon. 14 My name is Jennifer 15 Holmes, and I represent the HAUL plaintiffs in this 16 Thank you for bearing with us today. 17 You testified earlier that your office had 18 engaged in increased efforts to recruit election 19 workers; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 2.1 And I believe you also testified that there was 22 some relation between SB1 and difficulty in retaining 23 election workers; is that correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And what is the relationship between SB1 and



1	the difficulty retaining election workers?
2	A. After SB1, we received a lot of phone calls
3	from election workers that, particularly judges, that
4	they were concerned at the way that laws were being
5	written that make it more challenging for them where
6	they would be concerned that they could do something
7	wrong. And so they we had a lot more declines,
8	people declining to work for those reasons.
9	Q. Okay. And specifically for the November 2022
10	election, can you quantify the number of people that
11	declined to work?
12	A. There were almost 600 people that declined to
13	work, 600 judges that declined to work Election Day in
14	November 2022.
15	Q. And do you know how many of those election
16	judges, those 600, declined and cited reasons as part of
17	the reason SB1?
18	A. I couldn't quantify that.
19	Q. Have any election judges who declined to work
20	or are concerned about working communicated what
21	specifically about SB1 raises concerns?
22	A. That poll watchers at the voting location can
23	be a challenge to work with and that they don't know
24	when they are doing something that would get them in
25	trouble with a poll watcher.



1	Q. And in connection with the November 2022
2	election, what instructions did you give election
3	workers at those training concerning where poll watchers
4	are permitted to move around within polling places?
5	A. They are permitted we instructed them that
6	they are permitted to move around anywhere in the
7	polling place that they are not allowed to inspect a
8	voter's ballot unless an election worker is assisting
9	that worker.
10	Q. Okay. And did you provide any instructions
11	about how whether or not a poll watcher is permitted
12	to, say, stand behind a voter who is at at a voting
13	booth?
14	A. Not that granular other than just stating that
15	they can't monitor a voter's ballot without an
16	without the election worker assisting.
17	Q. Do you have an understanding of whether a poll
18	watcher can, you know, stand directly behind a voter
19	who's at a voting booth?
20	MS. HUNKER: Objection; form.
21	A. What my understanding is is that, if a if a
22	poll watcher is monitoring a voter's ballot or close
23	enough that they are monitoring a voter's ballot, then
24	that would be an interference or breaking of the policy.
25	Q. (BY MS. HOLMES) And in training election



1 workers, are you given instructions about how close that 2. would be if a poll watcher would be able to monitor a voter's ballot? 3 A. No. 4 Do you have an understanding of how close that 5 0. would be? 6 7 Α. No. Is there a reason that in the trainings you 8 0. 9 don't kind of specify how close a poll watcher could be 10 to a voter -- sorry. I'll just end the question there. 11 Let me start over. 12 In the -- in training election workers, is 13 there a reason why you do not give them instructions 14 about if there is a distance that a poll watcher must 15 maintain from a voter who is at a voting booth? 16 Two reasons. One is the state doesn't 17 prescribe a distance. And the second is that the law is 18 written in a way that tells the election worker not to 19 interfere with a -- a poll watcher having free movement 20 in the location. 2.1 And have any election workers communicated 22 confusion about how they are supposed to apply that rule 23 about free movement for poll watchers? 24 I'm not sure that they have expressed Α. 25 confusion, but they have asked about certain situations.



1	Q. Can you give me any examples?
2	A. There was a complaint of a poll watcher that
3	was too close. They the election judge thought the
4	poll watcher was too close to the voter, that they could
5	see the voter's ballot. So they called into our help
6	line who attempted to get the election judge to find out
7	if the poll watcher was inspecting the ballot.
8	Q. And do you know how that was resolved?
9	A. The judge it's my understanding and it
10	was I don't believe this was written in the ticket.
11	But what would have been procedure would be to tell the
12	judge to ask the poll watcher.
13	Q. To ask the poll watchers what exactly?
14	A. Right. If they were inspecting the ballot.
15	Q. Okay. Can you describe what a typical setup
16	looks like in a polling place in Harris County during
17	the November 2022 election in terms of the placement of
18	the voting machines?
19	A. It can range.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. But there is controller where a qualifying
22	clerk will stand or sit and check in voters. And
23	attached to that controller is a line of Duos, Duo
24	voting machines, and they are to be placed in a way
25	where the cords are underneath the machines and out of

